

CYCLIC WEAKLY AMENABLE SEMIGROUP ALGEBRAS WHICH ARE NOT WEAKLY AMENABLE

Hussein Mohamed Ghlaio

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science , Misurata University, Misurata, Libya
h.ghlaio@gmail.com

Article information	Abstract
<p>Key words semigroup algebra, cyclic weakly amenable. Received 26 February 2023, Accepted 22 March 2023, Available online 01 April 2023</p>	<p>In this paper we shall construct some examples where the semigroup algebra $\ell^1(S)$ is cyclic weakly amenable but not weakly amenable, where S is a commutative, 0-cancellative, $nil^\#$-semigroup.</p>

I. INTRODUCTION

We follow [1] to recall some definitions and some preliminaries. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, and let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. A linear map $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is a derivation if it satisfies the equation:

$$D(ab) = a \cdot Db + Da \cdot b \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

In this paper we shall only consider bounded derivations. Given $x \in X$ and define the map $\delta_x: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ by the equation:

$$\delta_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

These derivations are inner derivations.

Let X^* be the dual space of X . Then X^* is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule with respect to the operations given by

$$\langle x, a \cdot \lambda \rangle = \langle x \cdot a, \lambda \rangle \quad \text{and} \\ \langle x, \lambda \cdot a \rangle = \langle a \cdot x, \lambda \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X, \lambda \in X^*)$$

A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is amenable if every bounded derivation D from \mathcal{A} into a dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule X^* is inner, for each Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule X .

A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule over itself. Then a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable if every bounded derivation $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is inner.

A linear map $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is cyclic if $T(a_1)(a_0) = (-1)T(a_0)(a_1)$ for all

$a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{A}$; in other words, $\langle a_0, T(a_1) \rangle + \langle a_1, T(a_0) \rangle = 0$ ($a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{A}$).

In particular, $\langle a, T(a) \rangle = 0$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$).

The space of all bounded, cyclic derivations from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}^* is denoted by $ZC^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$, and the set of all cyclic inner derivations from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}^* is denoted by $NC^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$. It can be seen that every inner derivation is cyclic, and so $NC^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*) = N^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$. The first-order cyclic cohomology group is defined by

$$\mathcal{HC}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*) \\ = \frac{ZC^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)}{NC^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)} \\ = ZC^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*) / N^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*).$$

A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is cyclic weakly amenable if $\mathcal{HC}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*) = \{0\}$.

It is obvious that

$\mathcal{HC}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$, so each weakly amenable Banach algebra is cyclic weakly amenable.

Let S be a non-empty set, and let s be an element of S . The characteristic function of $\{s\}$ is denoted by δ_s . We define the Banach space

$$\ell^1(S) := \left\{ f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f = \sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s \delta_s, \sum_{s \in S} |\alpha_s| < \infty \right\},$$

where $\|f\| = \sum_{s \in S} |\alpha_s| < \infty$.

The dual space of $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$ is $\mathcal{A}^* = \ell^\infty(S)$, where

$$\ell^\infty(S) = \left\{ f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \|f\| = \sup_{s \in S} |f(s)| < \infty \right\},$$

with the duality given by:

$$\langle f, \lambda \rangle = \sum_{s \in S} f(s) \lambda(s) \quad (f \in \ell^1(S), \lambda \in \ell^\infty(S)).$$

Let S be a semigroup. Then the convolution product of two elements f and g in the Banach space $\ell^1(S)$ is defined by the formula:

$$f * g = \left(\sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s \delta_s \right) * \left(\sum_{t \in S} \beta_t \delta_t \right) = \sum_{r \in S} \left\{ \left(\sum_{st=r} \alpha_s \beta_t \right) \delta_r \right\}$$

The inner sum will vanish if there are no s and t such that $st = r$.

Clearly, $(\ell^1(S), *)$ is a Banach algebra; it is called the semigroup algebra of S .

We shall need to use the following remark:

Remark 1.1

Let S be a semigroup, and take g to be a function on $S \times S$. For $a, b \in S$, define

$$T_g(\delta_a, \delta_b) = g(a, b),$$

and then extend T_g to be a bilinear function on $\ell^1_0(S) \times \ell^1_0(S)$. In the case where g is bounded by M , T_g extends to a bounded, bilinear functional on $\ell^1(S) \times \ell^1(S)$.

Explicitly,

$$\left| T_g \left(\sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}, \sum_j \beta_j \delta_{b_j} \right) \right| = \left| \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j g(a_i, b_j) \right| \leq M \sum_i |\alpha_i| \sum_j |\beta_j|.$$

Now define $\tilde{T}_g: \ell^1(S) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(S)$ by

$$\langle h, \tilde{T}_g(f) \rangle = T_g(f, h) \quad (f, h \in \ell^1(S)).$$

Then \tilde{T}_g is a bounded linear map and

$$\langle \delta_b, \tilde{T}_g(\delta_a) \rangle = g(a, b) \quad (a, b \in S).$$

Throughout the paper, S denotes a countable commutative $nil^\#$ -semigroup which is the unitization of a nil semigroup S^- (that is, a semigroup S^- with zero such that for all $x \in S^-$, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n = o$), and which is zero-cancellative (that is, for all $a, b, c \in S$, $ab = ac \neq o$ implies $b = c$).

Following [2], we shall write $V_S(x)$ for the set of divisors of x in a unital semigroup S , that is,

$$V_S(x) = \{y \in S: \exists z \in S, yz = x\}.$$

The set $V_S^*(x)$ is the collection of all functions $g: V_S(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the logarithmic condition

$$g(ab) = g(a) + g(b) \quad (ab \in V_S(x)) \quad (I.1)$$

In our paper, we shall apply the characterization of cyclic weak amenability of some certain commutative semigroup algebras $\ell^1(S)$, as established in [3], where S is a commutative, 0-cancellative, $nil^\#$ -semigroup, as introduced in [2] where S is a finite or an infinite semigroup. Then we shall construct some examples where $\ell^1(S)$ is cyclic weakly amenable but not weakly amenable.

II Finite semigroups

In this section we shall discuss cyclic weak amenability of the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$, where S is a finite, commutative, 0-cancellative, $nil^\#$ -semigroup.

An element $a \neq e \in S$ is an atom if $V_S(a) = \{o, e\}$. we shall show that \mathcal{A} is cyclic weakly amenable only when S has exactly one atom, or $S = \{o, e\}$.

Proposition II.1

Let S be a finite, commutative, 0-cancellative, $nil^\#$ -semigroup with just one atom. Then the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$ is cyclic weakly amenable.

Proof

Suppose that S is a finite, commutative, 0-cancellative, $nil^\#$ -semigroup with just one atom a . Then the semigroup S can be written as

$$T_n = \{e, a, a^2, \dots, a^{n-1}, a^n = o\}$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$. We suppose that $\mathcal{A}_n = \ell^1(T_n)$

Take a derivation $D: \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n^*$. Then D is bounded because the semigroup algebra \mathcal{A}_n is finite dimensional.

We have $D(\delta_e) = D(\delta_o) = 0$. It can be proved that

$$D(\delta_a) = \lambda_e \delta_e^* + \lambda_1 \delta_a^* + \dots + \lambda_{n-2} \delta_{a^{n-2}}^*$$

for some $\lambda_e, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2} \in \mathbb{C}$.

We know that D is cyclic if and only if satisfies the equation:

$$\langle f, D(g) \rangle + \langle g, D(f) \rangle = 0 \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{A}). \quad (II.1)$$

Tak

$f = \delta_{a^k}$ and $g = \delta_a$ for $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$, where $a^0 = e$ and $\delta_{a^0} = \delta_e$. Then

$$\langle f, D(g) \rangle + \langle g, D(f) \rangle = \langle \delta_{a^k}, D(\delta_a) \rangle + \langle \delta_a, D(\delta_{a^k}) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \delta_{a^k}, D(\delta_a) \rangle + \langle \delta_a, k \delta_{a^{k-1}} D(\delta_a) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \delta_{a^k}, D(\delta_a) \rangle + k \langle \delta_a, \delta_{a^{k-1}} D(\delta_a) \rangle$$

$$= (k + 1) \langle \delta_{a^k}, D(\delta_a) \rangle,$$

and so, by (II.1), we have

$$\langle \delta_{a^k}, \lambda_e \delta_e^* + \lambda_1 \delta_a^* + \dots + \lambda_k \delta_{a^k}^* + \dots + \lambda_{n-2} \delta_{a^{n-2}}^* \rangle = 0,$$

hence $\lambda_k = 0$ for all $k = 0, \dots, n - 2$. So

$$D = 0. \text{ Therefore } \mathcal{HC}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*) = \{0\}.$$

Thus the proposition is proved. \square

Proposition II.2

Let S be a finite, commutative, 0-cancellative, $nil^\#$ -semigroup with exactly two atoms a and b . Then the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$ is not cyclic weakly amenable.

Proof

Suppose that the elements a and b are two atoms of the semigroup S . We have to discuss two cases:

1. Let $ab \neq o$. We claim that $V_S(ab) = \{e, a, b, ab\}$. For if $u|ab$ then either $u = e$ or there is an atom v such that $v|u$. If $a|u$, then $u = au_1|ab$ implies that $u_1|b$, so $u_1 = e$ or $u_1 = b$. Hence $(u = e$ or a or $ab)$. Similarly, if $b|u$, we have $u = b$ or $u = ab$.

Now we define the function $g \in V_S^*(ab)$ by:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = e \text{ or } x = ab \\ 1 & \text{if } x = a \\ -1 & \text{if } x = b \end{cases} \quad (II.2)$$

By using (II.2), it is clear that $g(xy) = g(x) + g(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$ such that $xy|ab$. Thus by [3, Proposition 2.1], the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$ is not cyclic weakly amenable.

2. Let $ab = o$. Then the semigroup S can be defined as

$$S = \{e, a, a^2, \dots, a^{n-1}, a^n = o = b^m, b, b^2, \dots, b^{m-1}, ab = o\},$$

for some $n, m \geq 2$.

A non-zero bounded sensible function $\varphi: C_{(a,b)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be defined on the class

$$C_{(a,b)} = \{(a, b), (b, a)\}$$

by:

$$\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = a \text{ and } y = b \\ -1 & \text{if } x = b \text{ and } y = a, \end{cases} \quad (II.3)$$

So that by [3, Theorem 2.4], the semigroup algebra

$\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$ is not cyclic weakly amenable.

Thus the proposition is proved. \square

III. Infinite semigroups

In this section we shall discuss cyclic weak amenability of the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$, where S is an

infinite, commutative, 0-cancellative, $nil^\#$ -semigroup. we shall show that \mathcal{A} is not cyclic weakly amenable if \mathcal{S} has at least two distinct atoms.

Proposition III.1

Let \mathcal{S} be a commutative, 0-cancellative, $nil^\#$ -semigroup. Suppose that \mathcal{S} has atoms a, b such that $ab = o$. Then the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ is not cyclic weakly amenable.

Proof

We shall show that there is a non-zero bounded sensible function φ on the equivalence class $C_{(a,b)} \in M_o^-$ with the equivalence relation defined on the set M_o^- as in [3, Definition 1.3].

We claim that

$$C_{(a,b)} = \{(a, b), (b, a)\}. \quad (\text{III.1})$$

If so, a non-zero bounded sensible function $\varphi: C_{(a,b)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be defined by:

$$\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = a \text{ and } y = b \\ -1 & \text{if } x = b \text{ and } y = a, \end{cases}$$

so that by [3, Theorem 2.4], the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ is not cyclic weakly amenable.

To prove (III.1), suppose that $(a, b) \sim (p, q)$, so that either we write $a = \alpha\beta$, and $(\alpha\beta, b) \sim (\alpha, \beta b)$. Otherwise we write $b = \gamma\lambda$, and $(a, \gamma\lambda) \sim (a\gamma, \lambda)$. But a is an atom, so if $a = \alpha\beta$ then $\alpha = e$ and $\beta = a$ otherwise if $\alpha = a$ and $\beta = e$ then the new pair is (a, b) . Also if $\alpha = e$ and $\beta = a$ then the new pair is $(e, ab) = (e, o) \notin M_o^-$.

Similarly, since b is an atom, we cannot get a new pair out of $(b\gamma, \lambda)$ with $\gamma\lambda = b$. Thus the proposition is proved. \square

Theorem III.2

Let \mathcal{S} be a commutative, 0-cancellative, $nil^\#$ -semigroup. Suppose that \mathcal{S} has at least two distinct atoms. Then the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ is not cyclic weakly amenable.

Proof

Suppose that \mathcal{S} has two distinct atoms a, b .

We have two cases. If $ab = o$, then, by Proposition (III.1), we have that $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ is not cyclic weakly amenable.

Suppose that $ab \neq o$. We claim that there is a non-zero bounded function g in $V_S^*(ab)$ with $g(ab) = 0$. We define g by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = a \\ -1 & \text{if } x = b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (\text{III.2})$$

and we must show that $g(xy) = g(x) + g(y)$ for all $x, y \in V_S(ab)$.

Suppose that $x, y \in V_S(ab)$. We have $g(x) = g(y) = g(xy) = 0$ unless one of x, y, xy is a or b . If $xy = a$ or $xy = b$ then the pair $\{x, y\}$ is $\{e, a\}$ or $\{e, b\}$ and $g(x) + g(y) = g(xy)$ hence we may assume towards a contradiction that $x = a$.

We claim that $y = e$ or $y = b$ with $g(x) + g(y) = g(xy)$.

For if y is not e or b we have $ay = xy|ab$ so $y|b$ and b is not an atom. But this is a contradiction. Thus there is $g \in V_S^*(ab)$ with $g(ab) = 0$. Therefore by [3, Theorem 2.4], the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ is not cyclic weakly amenable. \square

IV. Examples

We shall now establish some nice examples for some infinite semigroups, where the semigroup algebra $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ is cyclic weakly amenable but not weakly amenable.

Example IV.1

Let \mathcal{S} be the semigroup $([0,1], \oplus)$ where $a \oplus b = \min(a + b, 1)$ ($a, b \in \mathcal{S}$).

Then \mathcal{S} is an infinite, commutative, 0-cancellative $nil^\#$ -semigroup, and the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ is cyclic weakly amenable but not weakly amenable.

Note that, in \mathcal{S} , the unit element is 0 and the zero element is 1 .

Let $0 < p < 1$. To show that \mathcal{A} is not weakly amenable take the function g to be the identity function, so that $g(x) = x$ for all $x \in V_S(p)$. We have $V_S(p) = [0, p]$, hence $st \in V_S(p)$ implies that $s + t \leq p$, so that

$$g(s \oplus t) = s + t = g(s) + g(t).$$

Thus $g \neq 0 \in V_S^*(p)$. By [2, Theorem 1.1], we see that \mathcal{A} is not weakly amenable.

To prove cyclic weak amenability, we have to show that every element h of $V_S^*(p)$ is a multiple of the function g , and then show that there are no bounded sensible functions as before. Since $g(p) \neq 0$, this shows that there is no non-zero element $h \in V_S^*(p)$ with $h(p) = 0$. Let $\alpha = h(p)$. Then the log-condition show that $h(p/n) = \alpha/n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h(kp/n) = \alpha k/n$ for $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < k \leq n$. We claim that $h(rp) = r\alpha$ for every $0 \leq r \leq 1$ otherwise the function $[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $r \mapsto h(rp)$ is discontinuous. Suppose that ρ is irrational with $0 < \rho < 1$ and $h(\rho p) = \rho\beta$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$. Then $h(r\rho p) = r\rho\beta$ for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $r\rho < 1$.

There are rationals $r_n < \rho$ with $r_n \rightarrow \rho$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that $h(\rho p) - h(r_n p) = \rho\beta - r_n\alpha \rightarrow \rho(\beta - \alpha) \neq 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Fix $M > 0$. For large n , we have $h(\rho p) - h(r_n p) > M(\rho - r_n) > 0$.

But by the log-condition we have $h((\rho - r_n)p) = h(\rho p) - h(r_n p) > M(\rho - r_n)$.

Take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\frac{1}{2} < k(\rho - r_n) \leq 1$, so that we have,
 $h(k(\rho - r_n)p) = k(h(\rho p) - h(r_n p))$
 $> Mk(\rho - r_n) > \frac{1}{2}M,$

so that the function h is not bounded. But this is a contradiction, because elements of $V_S^*(p)$ must be bounded. Thus $\dim V_S^*(p) = 1$ for $0 < p < 1$ and there is no non-zero function $h \in V_S^*(p)$ with $h(p) = 0$. The function $g_p \in V_S^*(p)$ with $g_p(p) = 1$ is $g_p(x) = x/p$ for all $x \in V_S(p)$.

Now we shall prove that there is no non-zero, bounded, sensible function φ on any equivalence class $C_{(a,b)}$. We first claim that

$$C_{(a,b)} = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha + \beta = a + b\},$$

with $a + b \geq 1$.

For say $\alpha < a$, we have $a = \alpha \oplus (a - \alpha)$ so that

$$(\alpha \oplus (a - \alpha), b) \sim (\alpha, b + a - \alpha).$$

Similarly for $\beta < b$. But the relation \sim cannot relate pairs (a, b) and (c, d) with $a + b \neq c + d$. So take $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta \in S$ such that $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $\alpha + \beta = a + b$ so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\alpha_1, \beta + \alpha_2) &= g_\alpha(\alpha_1) \cdot \varphi(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} \cdot \varphi(\alpha, \beta), \end{aligned} \quad (IV.1)$$

on the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\alpha, \beta) &= -\varphi(\beta, \alpha) = -\varphi(\beta, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \\ &= -g_{\alpha_2 + \beta}(\beta) \cdot \varphi(\alpha_2 + \beta, \alpha_1) \\ &= \beta / (\alpha_2 + \beta) \cdot \varphi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \beta). \end{aligned} \quad (IV.2)$$

If φ is non-zero, choose α, β such that $\varphi(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ and by comparing (IV.1) and (IV.2) we must have $\beta / (\alpha_2 + \beta) = \alpha / \alpha_1$ for every

$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$; but the equation $\beta / (\alpha - \alpha_1 + \beta) = \alpha / \alpha_1$ is not true for all values of α_1 . Therefore, there is no non-zero bounded sensible function φ , and by [3, Proposition 2.3], the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$ is cyclic weakly amenable.

Example IV.2

Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the subset $\{0\} \cup \{a + ib \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < a < 1\}$. Suppose that $S = A \cup \{\theta\}$ such that

$$s \oplus \theta = \theta \oplus s = \theta \quad (s \in A)$$

and for each $z, w \in A$ we have

$$z \oplus w = \begin{cases} z + w & \text{if } z + w \in A \\ \theta & \text{if } z + w \notin A. \end{cases} \quad (IV.3)$$

Indeed, the identity of S is 0 and the zero element is θ . Then we claim that S is an infinite, commutative, 0-cancellative $nil^\#$ -semigroup, and the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$ is cyclic weakly amenable but not weakly amenable.

To show that \mathcal{A} is not weakly amenable take $z \in A$ and define the function g to be the real-part function, so that $g(w) = \Re(w)$ for all $w \in V_S(z)$. We have $V_S(z) = \{w \in S : z \in wS\} = \{a + \iota b : 0 < a < \Re(z)\}$,

(so that the identity function is not bounded on $V_S(z)$). For $u, v \in A$ with $\Re(u) + \Re(v) < 1$ we have $\theta \neq uv \in V_S(z)$ and $g(u \oplus v) = \Re(u) + \Re(v) = g(u) + g(v)$.

Thus $g \neq 0 \in V_S^*(z)$. By [2, Theorem 1.1] we see that \mathcal{A} is not weakly amenable.

Since the identity function is not bounded on the set A , for $z \in A$ the linear space $V_S^*(z)$ does not contain the identity function. We claim that $V_S^*(z)$ is all complex multiples of the function $g(w) = \Re(w)$ ($w \in A$).

For if we have $w \in V_S(z)$, so that $\Re(w) < \Re(z)$ or $w = z$. We claim that for $g \in V_S^*(z)$ we have $g(w) = g(w + \iota \lambda)$ ($w \in V_S(z)$).

Now for all $w \in V_S(z)$, and for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that

$$g\left(\frac{w}{2} + \iota \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + g\left(\frac{w}{2} - \iota \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) = g(w),$$

also

$$2g\left(\frac{w}{2} + \iota \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) = g(w + \iota \lambda) \text{ and } 2g\left(\frac{w}{2} - \iota \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) = g(w - \iota \lambda),$$

so that

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2}(g(w + \iota \lambda) + g(w - \iota \lambda)),$$

or

$$g(w + \iota \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(g(w + 2\iota \lambda) + g(w)),$$

hence

$$g(w + \iota 2\lambda) = 2g(w + \iota \lambda) - g(w).$$

Thus

$$g(w + \iota 3\lambda) = 2g(w + 2\iota \lambda) - g(w + \iota \lambda) = 3g(w + \iota \lambda) - 2g(w),$$

and similarly for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$g(w + \iota n\lambda) = ng(w + \iota \lambda) - (n-1)g(w),$$

so that

$$g(w + \iota n\lambda) - g(w) = n(g(w + \iota \lambda) - g(w)).$$

By induction, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we see that

$$g(w + \iota n\lambda) = g(w) + n(g(w + \iota \lambda) - g(w))$$

for all $w \in V_S(z)$,

so we have $g(w + \iota \lambda) = g(w)$ (otherwise g is not bounded). Also we claim that $g\left(\frac{mz}{n}\right) = \frac{m}{n}g(z)$

for all $m \leq n$ in \mathbb{N} ; once again we must have $g(\alpha z) = \alpha g(z)$ for all $0 < \alpha < 1$ otherwise g will not be bounded. Then if $k = \frac{g(z)}{\Re(z)} \in \mathbb{C}$, we

have for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$g(\alpha z + \iota \lambda) = g(\alpha z) = \alpha g(z) = \alpha k \Re(z) = k \Re(\alpha z + \iota \lambda).$$

Thus $V_S^*(z)$ consists of multiples of the function $g(w) = \Re(w)$ for each $w \in V_S(z)$ and $g_z(w) = \frac{\Re(w)}{\Re(z)}$.

To prove that \mathcal{A} is cyclic weakly amenable we seek to show that there is no non-zero bounded sensible function φ on any equivalence class $C_{(a,b)}$.

We claim that

$$C_{(a,b)} = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha, \beta \in A \text{ and } \alpha + \beta = a + b\}.$$

For once cannot have $(a, b) \sim (a', b')$ without $a + b = a' + b'$. Conversely, if $(\alpha, \beta) \in M_o^-$ with $a + b = \alpha + \beta$ we claim that $(\alpha, \beta) \in C_{(a,b)}$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$ with $\Re(b) + \epsilon < 1$, and $(a, b) \sim (\Re(a) - \epsilon, \Re(b) + \epsilon)$. Certainly, $(a, b) \sim (b, a)$ so that $C_{(a,b)} = C_{(a-\epsilon, b+\epsilon)}$, so we can assume that $\Re(a) \neq \Re(\alpha)$. If $\Re(a) > \Re(\alpha)$ then $\alpha|a$ in S , $a = \alpha + (a - \alpha)$ for $a, a - \alpha \in A$ so that $(a, b) \sim (\alpha, b + a - \alpha) = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Similarly, if $\Re(a) < \Re(\alpha)$ we have $(a, b) \sim (\alpha, \beta)$ also.

Suppose that φ is a sensible function, so that $\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2}\right) = 0$. If $c + d = a + b$ then

either we have $\mathfrak{R}(c) \leq \mathfrak{R}(\frac{a+b}{2})$ or $\mathfrak{R}(d) \leq \mathfrak{R}(\frac{a+b}{2})$, so we may assume that $\mathfrak{R}(c) \leq \mathfrak{R}(\frac{a+b}{2})$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\frac{c}{3}) < \mathfrak{R}(\frac{a+b}{2})$, so $\frac{c}{3} \mid \frac{a+b}{2}$ in S , and

$$\varphi(c, d) = 3\varphi(\frac{c}{3}, d + \frac{2c}{3}) =$$

$$3g_{\frac{a+b}{2}}(\frac{c}{3})\varphi(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2}) = 0.$$

Thus the semigroup algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$ is cyclic weakly amenable but not weakly amenable.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, Volume **24**, Clarendon press, Oxford, 2000.
- [2] Hussein M. Ghlaio and Charles J. Read, Irregular abelian semigroups with weakly amenable semigroup algebra, *Semigroup Forum*, **82** (2011), 367-383.
- [3] Hussein M. Ghlaio, Cyclic weak amenability of some commutative semigroup algebras, *Journal of Science*, **Vol.**(07) August 2018, 35-38.